arXiv:hep-th/0501230v1 28 Jan 2005

The Conformally Invariant Vector Field on $R \times S^3$

Zurab Ratiani

Diploma Thesis at the
Institut für Theoretische Physik
der Universität Hannover

May 2002

Contents

1. Introduction **5**

2. Mathematical background **7**
- 2.1. The Conformal transformations . . . 7
- 2.2. Isometries . . . 8
- 2.3. Covariant Differentiation . . . 9
- 2.4. The manifold $R \times S^3$ . . . 11
- 2.5. Curvature of $R \times S^3$ . . . 12
- 2.6. Generators of the conformal transformations . . . 14
- 2.7. Noether's Theorem . . . 15
- 2.8. The flat coordinates . . . 17
- 2.9. Infinitesimal transformations . . . 20

3. Classical field theory **21**
- 3.1. The vector Field . . . 21
- 3.2. The gauge-fixing term . . . 23

4. Quantum field theory **27**
- 4.1. Propagator quantization . . . 27
- 4.2. Creation and annihilation operators . . . 28
- 4.3. The Interactions with a scalar density field . . . 31
- 4.4. The energy spectrum . . . 35
- 4.5. The Physical States . . . 38

5. Summary **43**

A. The Lorentz generator **45**

B. Checking the commutation relation **47**

1. Introduction

Conformal symmetry is the highest space-time symmetry. In addition to the transformations of the Poincare group it includes the transformations which preserve angles between world lines:dilatations and the special conformal transformations.The last transformation is singular in the Minkowski space, but on $R \times S^3$ it is well defined. Global space-time may differ from Minkowski space, while being locally very similar to it, and the new "energy", the translation operator P_0 from the conformal algebra, will correspondingly differ from the special relativistic energy, although again being very close to it in the case of sufficiently localized phenomena. The difference in energy-eigenvalues should be very small for localized states, but there is a considerable lost of symmetry in the replacement of $R \times S^3$ by Minkowski space.

The second chapter deals with geometrical objects, with curvature related quantities: Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor, Ricci scalar. The conformal Killing-equations are presented. Next, the electromagnetic field will be constructed on the manifold $R \times S^3$. This manifold is a special case of the Robertson-Walker spaces. $R \times S^3$ has 15 generators of the conformal group and therefore is a maximal conformally symmetric manifold. The space of the rays on the lightcone in $R^{2,4}$ is isomorphic to the $(S \times S^3)/Z_2$. This fact is helpful to find out the conformal Killing-vectors, that describe the conformal symmetry of the curved manifold. $R \times S^3$ is a universal covering space of $(S \times S^3)/Z_2$.

In the third chapter the electromagnetic field is considered. The Lagrangian that is invariant under the conformal transformations of the dynamical fields A_m only is written. The Maxwell field in four dimensions is a vector field and in contrast to the scalar field there is no need to introduce densities in order to obtain a conformally invariant theory. For the eigenmode expansion of the field A_m the eigenfunctions of the "four-momentum" operator, the operator that plays the role of the momentum in the conformal algebra, will be used. At the end of this chapter, a gauge-fixing term is found, which makes strongly apparent the similarity to the flat case.

In the fourth chapter the Green's function is found. It allows us to carry out the quantization. Here the creation and annihilation operators of photons on the curved space will be discussed. The interaction of the Maxwell field with the charged scalar density fields $\phi(x)$ is considered. The question whether such terms break the conformal invariance, or give raise to some new vertex-factors for the Feynman diagrams is investigated. Finally the energy-spectrum of the dynamical field $A_m(x)$ will be considered. Due to the gauge invariance of the field there exist the unphysical degrees of freedom which lead to the negative-norm states. The BRS method will be used to solve this problem.

2. Mathematical background

2.1. The Conformal transformations

Suppose we have a region M of the space R^n with coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, and some mapping T of points x to points $x' : x' = T(x)$. On M tangential vectors to curves are defined :

$$\frac{dx'^m}{ds}_{|x'(x)} = \frac{\partial x'^m}{\partial x^n} \frac{dx^n}{ds}_{|x} \tag{2.1}$$

An invertible transformation is called conformal if the length-square of all vectors will change at most by some coordinate dependent factor $e^{\lambda(x)}$:

$$\frac{\partial x'^m}{\partial x^k} \frac{dx^k}{ds}_{|x} \frac{\partial x'^n}{\partial x^l} \frac{dx^l}{ds}_{|x} g_{mn}(x'(x)) = e^{\lambda(x)} \frac{dx^k}{ds}_{|x} \frac{dx^l}{ds}_{|x} g_{kl}(x) \tag{2.2}$$

This must be valid for every vector, so:

$$\frac{\partial x'^m}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x'^n}{\partial x^l} g_{mn}(x'(x)) = e^{\lambda(x)} g_{kl}(x) \tag{2.3}$$

The last formula shows us that after a conformal transformation the new metric tensor $g'_{mn}(x')$ is proportional to the original one $g_{mn}(x')$ if both are expressed in the same coordinates. The transformation is called isometry if the conformal factor $e^{\lambda(x)} = 1$ and hence length-square of the vector does not change. Equation (2.3) describes the finite conformal transformations.

The one parameter-group of transformations T_α maps points x to points x' of the manifold. If one varies the group parameter α, one gets a curve with the tangential vectors:

$$\xi^m(T_\alpha(x)) = \frac{(T_\alpha x)^m}{d\alpha}. \tag{2.4}$$

Inserting $\alpha = 0$ one obtains vector at the original point x.

Considering infinitesimally small transformations: $x'^m = x^m + \xi^m$, if one differentiates (2.3) with respect to the transformations parameter at $\alpha = 0$ and uses the equations:

$$\frac{\partial (T_\alpha x)^m}{\partial x^k}_{|\alpha=0} = \delta^m_k, \qquad \partial_\alpha \frac{\partial (T_\alpha x)^m}{\partial x^k}_{|\alpha=0} = \partial_k \xi^m \tag{2.5}$$

one gets:

$$\partial_k \xi^m \delta_l^n g_{mn} + \delta_k^m \partial_l \xi^n g_{mn} + \delta_k^m \delta_l^n \partial_\alpha g_{mn}(x) + \epsilon g_{kl} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Here we have used the notation: $\epsilon = -\partial_\alpha \lambda_{|\alpha=0}$.

Finally one receives the conformal Killing equation :

$$\xi^k \partial_k g_{mn} + \partial_m \xi^k g_{kn} + \partial_n \xi^k g_{mk} + \epsilon g_{mn} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

From the last equations one can find the conformal Killing vectors, if the components of the metric tensor are known. This vectors describe the symmetry of the manifold. Because of the symmetry of the metric tensor (2.7) there are at most $d(d+1)/2$ equations, where d is the dimension of the manifold.

2.2. Isometries

Isometries are transformations that do not change lengths of vectors. The Killing vectors generate such transformations. This vectors can be found from the Killing equation. The last one is received from the equation (2.7) if one sets the parameter $\epsilon = 0$:

$$\xi^k \partial_k g_{mn} + \partial_m \xi^k g_{kn} + \partial_n \xi^k g_{mk} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

If the components of the metric tensor are known one can find the Killing vectors from equations (2.8). We will compute the components of one Killing vector. In our case, for manifold $R \times S^3$ we get the following equations:

$$(11) \qquad \partial_1 \xi^1 = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$(22) \qquad \xi^1 \cos\alpha \partial_2 \xi^2 \sin\alpha = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

$$(33) \qquad \xi^1 \cos\alpha \sin\theta + \xi^2 \sin\alpha \cos\theta \partial_3 + \xi^3 \sin\alpha \sin\theta = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

$$(12) \qquad \partial_1 \xi^2 \sin^2\alpha + \partial_2 \xi^1 = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

$$(13) \qquad \partial_1 \xi^3 \sin^2\alpha \sin^2\theta + \partial_3 \xi^1 = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

$$(23) \qquad \partial_2 \xi^3 \sin^2\alpha \sin^2\theta + \partial_3 \xi^2 \sin^2\alpha = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

The numbers in brackets indicate the indices of the metric tensor. Here we assume that the numbers $0, 1, 2, 3$ correspond to the coordinates t, α, θ, ϕ accordingly. To solve this system of equations we will use the Ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^1 &= A\sin(\theta + a) \\ \xi^2 &= A\cos(\theta + a)\cot\alpha + b \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Here A, a, b are some unknown constants. From the equation (2.11) one gets:

$$\xi^3 = -\phi\Big(A\sin(\theta + a)\cot\alpha + (A\cos(\theta + a)\cot\alpha + b)\cot\theta\Big). \tag{2.16}$$

If we insert this into (2.13) we will receive the following condition onto the a's: $a = \frac{\pi n}{2}$, where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$. From equation (2.14) we receive for $a = \pi/2$ that $b = 0$. Now we can insert this values for the constants a and b into the last expression for ξ^3 and we get $\xi^3 = 0$. So one can now write down the following Killing vector:

$$\xi^m = (\cos\theta, -\sin\theta\cot\alpha, 0). \tag{2.17}$$

2.3. Covariant Differentiation

The gradient of a tensor does not behave like a tensor under general coordinate transformations $x^i = x^i(y^1, \ldots y^n), i = 1 \ldots n$. The components of a tensor $\tilde{T}_k$ in the y^i coordinates satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}^j_{,l} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_k}{\partial y^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\left(T^i \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i}\right) = \frac{\partial T^i}{\partial y^l}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i} + T^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i} \\ &= \frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^p}\frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^l}\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i} + T^i \frac{\partial^2 y^j}{\partial x^i \partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^l}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The second term vanishes under linear coordinate transformations $y^i = a^i_j x^j$, but not under general coordinate transformations. So, it is necessary to generalize the concept of the gradient. The covariant derivative of (1,1) tensor has the form:

$$D_k T^i_j = \frac{\partial T^i_j}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma^i_{lk} T^i_j - \Gamma^l_{jk} T^i_l, \tag{2.19}$$

and there exist similar expressions for tensors of different rank. Covariant differentiation is a linear operation and commutes with the operation of contraction. For a zero-rank tensor it is the usual gradient of the function. The covariant derivative of a product of tensors is calculated using the Leibniz product rule.

The Γ-s are called Christoffel symbols or affine connections. The coordinates are said to be (Psuedo)Euclidean (with respect to a given connection), if in terms of those coordinates the Γ's are identically zero:

$$\Gamma^i_{kl} \equiv 0 \qquad i, j, k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{2.20}$$

On the other hand, the coordinates $x^1, \dots, x^n$ are said to be Euclidean if in terms of these coordinates the metric g_{ij} is given by:

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, \tag{2.21}$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol.

A connection Γ^i_{jk} is said to be compatible with a metric g_{ij} if the covariant derivative of the metric tensor g_{ij} is identically zero:

$$D_k g_{ij} \equiv 0 \qquad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.22}$$

For a vector field :

$$\begin{aligned} D_k D_l T^i &= D_k \left(\frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^l} + \Gamma^i_{nl} T^n \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(\frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^l} + \Gamma^i_{nl} T^n \right) + \Gamma^i_{pk} \left(\frac{\partial T^p}{\partial x^l} + \Gamma^p_{nl} T^n \right) - \\ & -\Gamma^p_{lk} \left(\frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^p} + \Gamma^i_{np} T^n \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 T^i}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} + \frac{\partial T^n}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^i_{nl} + \Gamma^i_{pk} \frac{\partial T^p}{\partial x^l} - \Gamma^p_{lk} \frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^p} + T^n \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{nl}}{\partial x^k} + \\ & +\Gamma^i_{pk} \Gamma^p_{nl} T^n - \Gamma^p_{lk} \Gamma^i_{np} T^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

If one exchanges the order of differentiation and after that subtracts this two expressions from each other, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_k D_l - D_l D_k) T^i &= \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{nl}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{nk}}{\partial x^l} \right) T^n + \left(\Gamma^i_{pk} \Gamma^p_{nl} - \Gamma^i_{pl} \Gamma^p_{nk} \right) T^n \\ & -(\Gamma^p_{lk} - \Gamma^p_{kl}) \left(\frac{\partial T^i}{\partial x^p} + \Gamma^i_{np} T^n \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Introducing the notation:

$$-R^i_{nkl} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{nl}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{nk}}{\partial x^l} + \Gamma^i_{pk} \Gamma^p_{nl} - \Gamma^i_{pl} \Gamma^p_{nk}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$T^p_{kl} = \Gamma^p_{lk} - \Gamma^p_{kl}, \tag{2.26}$$

finally one obtains :

$$(D_k D_l - D_l D_k) T^i = -R^i_{nkl} T^n + T^p_{kl} D_n T^i \tag{2.27}$$

One can write analogous equations for the tensors with lower indices:

$$(D_k D_l - D_l D_k) T_i = R^n_{ikl} T_n + T^p_{kl} D_n T_i. \tag{2.28}$$

The R^i_{nkl} are the components of so-called Riemann curvature tensor and T^p_{kl} is the torsion tensor. If the connection is symmetric $T^p_{kl} \equiv 0$.

One can write the conformal Killing equation (2.7) in the following form:

$$D_m \xi_n + D_n \xi_m + \epsilon g_{mn} = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

where the ξ's are the conformal Killing vectors. If one multiplies both sides with the inverse metric tensor one gets: $\epsilon = -\frac{2}{d} D_k \xi^k$, where d is the dimension of the space.

For isometries one obtains the Killing equation:

$$D_m \xi_n + D_n \xi_m = 0. \tag{2.30}$$

If one uses (2.30) in the equation for the commutator of the covariant derivatives (2.28) one gets: $D_k D_l \xi_i + D_l D_i \xi_k = -R^n_{ikl} \xi_n$. (We assume that $T \equiv 0$). Using the Bianchi-identity $D_{(k} D_l \xi_{i)} = 0$ one receives:

$$D_i D_k \xi_l = -R^n_{ikl} \xi_n \tag{2.31}$$

So the second derivatives of the Killing vectors are completely determined through this vectors and the Riemann tensor. In the Taylor expansion of the analytical Killing vector fields around a point P at most the coefficients ξ and the antisymmetric coefficients $D_k \xi_{l|p}$ are free. In d space-time dimensions these are in total $d + \frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$ coefficients and hence there exist at most $\frac{1}{2} d(d+1)$ linear independent Killing vectors.

2.4. The manifold $R \times S^3$

As $R \times S^3$ we will consider the following overlapping of the manifold $S^1 \times S^3$ which is a submanifold of the flat space $R^{2,4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(t, \alpha, \theta, \phi) \to (y^{-1}, y^0, y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4) = \\ &(\cos t, \sin t, \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi,\ sin\alpha \sin\theta \sin\phi, \sin\alpha \cos\theta, \cos\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Here y's are the coordinates on $R^{2,4}$ and $S^1 \times S^3$ is described through the equation:

$$\sum_{i=-1}^{0} (y^i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (y^i)^2 = 1 \tag{2.33}$$

The angles α and θ take values from 0 to π, ϕ from 0 to 2π, and t takes all real numbers. From $R^{2,4}$ one can write down the metric on $R \times S^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dy}{ds}\frac{dy}{ds}\right) &= \left(\frac{dy^{-1}}{ds}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy^0}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dy^1}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dy^2}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dy^3}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dy^4}{ds}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{dt}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d\alpha}{ds}\right)^2 - \sin^2\alpha \left(\frac{d\theta}{ds}\right)^2 - \sin^2\alpha \sin^2\theta \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

hence :

$$g_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin^2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin^2\alpha \sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.5. Curvature of $R \times S^3$

The curvature of a manifold is described through the Riemann tensor:

$$R^l_{ijk} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^l_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^l_{ik}}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma^m_{ij}\Gamma^l_{mk} - \Gamma^m_{ik}\Gamma^l_{ml} \tag{2.35}$$

which are called Riemann symbols of the second kind, where the Christoffel symbols Γ's are:

$$\Gamma^m_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} g^{mn}(\partial_k g_{nl} + \partial_l g_{nk} - \partial_n g_{kl}) \tag{2.36}$$

The components of the corresponding covariant tensor of fourth order :

$$R_{mjik} = g_{mh} R^h_{jik} \tag{2.37}$$

are called the Riemann symbols of the first kind. They satisfy the following identities:

$$R_{mjik} = -R_{jmik}, \tag{2.38}$$

$$R_{mjik} = -R_{mjki}, \tag{2.39}$$

$$R_{mjik} = R_{ikmj}, \tag{2.40}$$

and

$$R_{mjik} + R_{mikj} + R_{mkji} = 0. \tag{2.41}$$

From (2.38) it follows that for non-vanishing components neither the first two nor the second two indices can be alike. Due to (2.38) there are $n(n-1)/2 \equiv n_2$ cases in which the first pair of indices are equal to the second pair, and $n_2(n_2-1)/2$ cases in which the first pair and the second pair are different. Hence there are total $n_2(n_2+1)/2$ distinct symbols as regards (2.38). Additionally there are $n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)/4! \equiv n_4$ equations of the form (2.41). Consequently there are

$$N = n_2(n_2+1)/2 - n_4 = n^2(n^2-1)/12 \tag{2.42}$$

distinct symbols of the first kind.

For the space $R \times S^3$ one gets that the componets of the Christoffel symbols are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^\phi_{\alpha\phi} &= \Gamma^\phi_{\phi\alpha} = \cot\alpha \\
\Gamma^\theta_{\alpha\theta} &= \Gamma^\theta_{\theta\alpha} = \cot\alpha \\
\Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} &= \Gamma^\phi_{\phi\theta} = \cot\theta \\
\Gamma^\alpha_{\theta\theta} &= -\sin\alpha\cos\alpha \\
\Gamma^\alpha_{\phi\phi} &= -\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\sin^2\theta \\
\Gamma^\theta_{\phi\phi} &= -\sin\theta\cos\theta
\end{aligned}$$

All other components vanish.

The number of the non-vanishing Riemann symbols of the first kind are less than predicted by the formula (2.42) because of the symmetries of our space. They have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha,\theta,\alpha,\theta} &= -\sin^2\alpha \\ R_{\alpha,\phi,\alpha,\phi} &= -\sin^2\alpha - \sin^2\theta \\ R_{\theta,\phi,\theta,\phi} &= -\sin^4\alpha - \sin^2\theta \end{aligned}$$

and other components that can be received through exchange of the indices, in accordance with (2.38), which makes 12 components in total.

The Ricci tensor is computed through the formula:

$$R_{mn} = g^{kl}R_{kmln}. \tag{2.43}$$

For $R \times S^3$ the Ricci tensor takes the form:

$$R_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\sin^2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\sin^2\alpha\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.44}$$

The Ricci Scalar is:

$$R = g^{mn}R_{mn} \tag{2.45}$$

and for $R \times S^3$ one gets $R = 6$.

One can compute the curvature of $R \times S^3$ in a different way. The curvature is completely determined through its three dimensional curvature tensor P_{plmn} of S^3. The manifold S^3 belongs to the class of the maximally symmetric spaces. The Riemann tensor of such a completely isotropic space is:

$$P_{plmn} = k(g_{pm}g_{ln} - g_{lm}g_{pn}), \tag{2.46}$$

where k is some constant. Then the Ricci tensor is:

$$P_{mn} = 2kg_{mn},$$

and the Ricci scalar:

$$P = 6k. \tag{2.47}$$

The line element on S^3 is: $dl^2 = \gamma_{mn}dx^m dx^n$, where γ_{mn} is the three-dimensional metric. So, the curvature of such spaces are described only through the constant k, and we will try to find its value for S^3. One can consider S^3 as embedded in some four-dimensional flat space. The equation of the hyper-sphere with unit radius has the form:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 1, \tag{2.48}$$

and the line element on it is: $dl^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2$. With the help of (2.48) one can rearrange the last formula to give:

$$dl^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + \frac{(x_1 dx_1 + x_2 dx_2 + x_3 dx_3)^2}{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2}, \tag{2.49}$$

so the metric tensor is:

$$\gamma^{mn} = \delta^{mn} + \frac{x^m x^n}{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2}. \tag{2.50}$$

One can choose to compute the curvature of S^3 at the origin, this means at the north pole. The curvature at any other point of the sphere is the same. So in the neighborhood of the north pole the first derivatives of the metric γ_{mn} vanish and so do the quantities γ_{mn}. The second derivatives are:

$$\partial_m \partial_n \gamma_{kl_{|x=0}} = \delta_{mk}\delta_{nl} + \delta_{ml}\delta_{nk}, \tag{2.51}$$

and the Ricci tensor is:

$$R_{ik_{|x=0}} = \partial_l \Gamma^l_{ik} - \partial_k \Gamma^l_{il}. \tag{2.52}$$

Using (2.36) one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ik} &= 1/2\gamma^{lm}(\partial_l\partial_i\gamma_{mk} + \partial_l\partial_k\gamma_{mi} - \partial_l\partial_m\gamma_{ik}) \\ &\quad -1/2\gamma^{lm}(\partial_k\partial_i\gamma_{ml} + \partial_k\partial_l\gamma_{mi} - \partial_k\partial_m\gamma_{il}) \\ &= \gamma^{lm}\delta_{lm}\delta_{ik} - \gamma^{lm}\delta_{km}\delta_{il} = 2\delta_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the scalar curvature is: $R = R_{ik}\gamma^{ik} = 6$.

2.6. Generators of the conformal transformations

We can now write down the Generators of $SO(2,4)$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1,0} &= \partial_t \\ L_{-1,1} &= -\sin t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t + \cos t \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha \\ &\quad \frac{\cos t \cos\theta \cos\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta - \frac{\cos t \sin\phi}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\ L_{-1,2} &= -\sin t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \sin\phi \partial_t + \cos t \cos\alpha \sin\theta \sin\phi \partial_\alpha \\ &\quad \frac{\cos t \cos\theta \sin\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta + \frac{\cos t \cos\phi}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\ L_{-1,3} &= -\sin t \sin\alpha \cos\theta \partial_t + \cos t \cos\alpha \cos\theta \partial_\alpha - \frac{\cos t \sin\theta}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta \\ L_{-1,4} &= -\sin t \cos\alpha \partial_t - \cos t \sin\alpha \partial_\alpha \\ L_{0,1} &= \cos t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t + \sin t \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin t\cos\theta\cos\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta - \frac{\sin t\sin\phi}{\sin\alpha\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{0,2} &= \cos t\sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi\partial_t + \sin t\cos\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi\partial_\alpha \\
& \frac{\sin t\cos\theta\sin\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta + \frac{\sin t\cos\phi}{\sin\alpha\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{0,3} &= \cos t\sin\alpha\cos\theta\partial_t + \sin t\cos\alpha\cos\theta\partial_\alpha - \frac{\sin t\sin\theta}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta \\
L_{0,4} &= \cos t\cos\alpha\partial_t - \sin t\sin\alpha\partial_\alpha \\
L_{1,2} &= \partial_\phi \\
L_{2,3} &= \sin\phi\partial_\theta + \frac{\cos\theta\cos\phi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{3,1} &= -\cos\phi\partial_\theta + \frac{\cos\theta\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{1,4} &= \sin\theta\cos\phi\partial_\alpha + \cot\alpha\cos\theta\cos\phi\partial_\theta - \cot\alpha\frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{2,4} &= \sin\theta\sin\phi\partial_\alpha + \cot\alpha\cos\theta\sin\phi\partial_\theta + \cot\alpha\frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
L_{3,4} &= \cos\theta\partial_\alpha - \cot\alpha\sin\theta\partial_\theta
\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

$$L_{a,b} = -L_{b,a} \tag{2.54}$$

In Appendix A, as an example, $L_{0,3}$ is computed.

The generators D of dilatations, K of special conformal transformations, and P_m, J_{mn} of the Poincaré group fulfill the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P_m, P_n] = 0 && [J_{mn}, P_k] = -(\eta_{mk}P_n - g_{nk}P_m) \\
&[J_{mn}, J_{kp}] = \eta_{mp}J_{nk} + \eta_{nk}J_{mp} - \eta_{mk}J_{np} - \eta_{np}J_{mk} && \\
&[D, P_m] = -P_m && [D, J_{mn}] = 0 \\
&[D, K_m] = K_m && [K_n, K_m] = 0 \\
&[K_m, P_n] = -2(\eta_{mn}D + J_{mn}) && [J_{mn}, K_p] = -(\eta_{mp}K_n - \eta_{np}K_m)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

where η_{mn} is the Minkowski metric. One can verify that this algebra is fulfilled through the following combinations of the Killing vectors of $SO(2,4)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_m &= L_{-1,m} + L_{m,4}, & K_m &= L_{-1,m} - L_{m,4}, \\
J_{mn} &= L_{m,n}, & D &= -L_{-1,4}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

In appendix B one of this commutator relations is proven.

2.7. Noether's Theorem

The physical systems can have a symmetry under transformations of the dynamical fields, this means that under corresponding infinitesimal transformations $\delta\phi_i$ the action of the system does not change: $\delta W = 0$. If T_α is a one-parameter group that maps fields into fields, then infinitesimal transformations are defined as the derivatives of the transformations at $\alpha = 0$:

$$\delta\phi_i = \frac{d}{d\alpha} T_\alpha \phi_i(x)_{|\alpha=0}. \tag{2.57}$$

The action will change as:

$$\delta W = \int d^4x \delta\phi_i \frac{\delta W}{\delta\phi_i}. \tag{2.58}$$

The transformation T_α is called a symmetry of the action if the integrand in the last expression can be written as the total derivative of some function:

$$\delta\phi_i \frac{\delta W}{\delta\phi_i} + \partial_m j^m = 0 \tag{2.59}$$

To the current the term $j^m = \partial_n B^{nm}$, the total divergence of the functions that are antisymmetric under the exchange of the indices, can be added. For physical fields the equations of motion are $\frac{\delta W}{\delta\phi_i} = 0$, so to each symmetry there corresponds a conserved current j^m. The variation of the Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} &= \delta\phi_l \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi_l} + (\partial_k\delta\phi_l)\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k\phi_l)} \\ &= \delta\phi_l \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi_l} - \partial_k \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k\phi_l)}\right) + \partial_k\left(\delta\phi_l \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k\phi_l)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

The term in brackets in the first term is called the Euler derivative:

$$\frac{\hat\partial\mathcal{L}}{\hat\partial\phi_l} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi_l} - \partial_k \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k\phi_l)}, \tag{2.61}$$

so, the variation of the Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} &= \delta\phi_l \frac{\hat\partial\mathcal{L}}{\hat\partial\phi_l} + \partial_k\left(\delta\phi_l \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k\phi_l)}\right) \\ &= \partial_m K^m, \end{aligned} \tag{2.62}$$

where:

$$K^m = \delta\phi_l \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_m\phi_l)} - j^m. \tag{2.63}$$

and (2.59) was used. From here the formula for the conserved current follows:

$$j^m = \delta\phi_l \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_m\phi_l)} - K^m + \partial_n B^{nm}. \tag{2.64}$$

A transformation of the fields is a symmetry transformation if the corresponding variation of the Lagrangian is the total derivative of some function. On the other hand to each conserved currents there corresponds a symmetry transformation of the action. One can write:

$$0 = \partial_m j^m + R_l \frac{\delta W}{\delta \phi_l} + R_l^m \partial_m \left(\frac{\delta W}{\delta \phi_l} \right), \tag{2.65}$$

where R_l, R_l^m are some functions of the coordinates, the fields and their derivatives. One can bring the last equation into the form:

$$0 = \partial_m \left(j^m + R_l^m \frac{\delta W}{\delta \phi_l} \right) + (R_l - \partial_m R_l^m) \frac{\delta W}{\delta \phi_l}, \tag{2.66}$$

according to (2.59) to the conserved current there corresponds a symmetry transformation:

$$\delta \phi_l = R_l - \partial_m R_l^m. \tag{2.67}$$

The corresponding conserved charge is:

$$Q(t) = \int d^3 x j^0(t, \vec{x}). \tag{2.68}$$

2.8. The flat coordinates

The Killing-vectors corresponding to the momentum operators of the conformal algebra (2.56) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_0^n &= \Big[1 + \cos t \cos \alpha, \quad -\sin t \sin \alpha, \quad 0, \quad 0 \Big] \\
\xi_1^n &= \Big[-\sin t \sin \alpha \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad \sin \theta \cos \phi (1 + \cos t \cos \alpha), \\
& \quad \frac{\cos \theta \cos \phi (\cos t + \cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha}, \quad -\frac{\sin \phi (\cos t + \cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha \sin \theta} \Big] \\
\xi_2^n &= \Big[-\sin t \sin \alpha \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad \sin \theta \sin \phi (1 + \cos t \cos \alpha), \\
& \quad \frac{\cos \theta \sin \phi (\cos t + \cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha}, \frac{\cos \phi (\cos t + \cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha \sin \theta} \Big] \\
\xi_3^n &= \Big[-\sin \alpha \sin t \cos \theta, \quad \cos \theta (1 + \cos t \cos \alpha), \\
& \quad -\frac{\sin \theta (\cos t + \cos \alpha)}{\sin \alpha}, 0 \Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.69}$$

Here we introduce the following quantities:

$$\beta^m = (t, \alpha, \theta, \phi), \tag{2.70}$$

$$x^m = \left(\frac{\sin t}{\cos t + \cos\alpha}, \frac{\sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi}{\cos t + \cos\alpha}, \frac{\sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi}{\cos t + \cos\alpha}, \frac{\sin\alpha\cos\theta}{\cos t + \cos\alpha}\right) \tag{2.71}$$

$$m = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

In terms of this expression we can write the Killing vectors as:

$$\xi^n_a = \frac{\partial\beta^n}{\partial x^a}. \tag{2.72}$$

Here we define the inverse Killing-vectors in the following sense:

$$\bar{\xi}^a_m = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial\beta^m}, \tag{2.73}$$

$$\bar{\xi}^a_m \xi^m_b = \delta^a_b, \qquad \bar{\xi}^a_m \xi^n_a = \delta^n_m. \tag{2.74}$$

So, one has four inverse vectors corresponding to the vectors in (2.69):

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\xi}^0_n &= \Big[\frac{1+\cos t\cos\alpha}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \quad \frac{\sin t\sin\alpha}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \quad 0, \quad 0\Big] \\
\bar{\xi}^1_n &= \Big[\frac{\sin t\sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \quad \frac{\sin\theta\cos\phi(1+\cos t\cos\alpha)}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \\
&\quad \frac{\sin\alpha\cos\theta\cos\phi}{\cos t+\cos\alpha)}, \quad -\frac{\sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi}{\cos t+\cos\alpha}\Big] \\
\bar{\xi}^2_n &= \Big[\frac{\sin t\sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \quad \frac{\sin\theta\sin\phi(1+\cos t\cos\alpha)}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \\
&\quad \frac{\sin\alpha\cos\theta\sin\phi}{\cos t+\cos\alpha}, \quad \frac{\sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi}{\cos t+\cos\alpha}\Big] \\
\bar{\xi}^3_n &= \Big[\frac{\sin t\sin\alpha\cos\theta}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \quad \frac{\cos\theta(1+\cos t\cos\alpha)}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}, \\
&\quad -\frac{\sin\alpha\sin\theta}{\cos t+\cos\alpha}, \quad 0\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.75}$$

In our case the following relations hold:

$$g_{mn}\xi^m_a\xi^n_b = (\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2\eta_{ab}, \tag{2.76}$$

$$g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_m\bar{\xi}^b_n = (\cos t + \cos\alpha)^{-2}\eta^{ab}, \tag{2.77}$$

$$\bar{\xi}^a_m = \xi^n_b g_{mn}\eta^{ab}(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^{-2}. \tag{2.78}$$

The Jacobian of the transformation from flat x^m coordinates to curved coordinates β^m is:

$$det_4 \parallel \partial x/\partial\beta \parallel = \frac{\sin^2\alpha\sin\theta}{(\cos\theta+\cos\alpha)^4}, \tag{2.79}$$

$$det_3 \parallel \partial x/\partial\beta \parallel = det_4 \parallel \partial x/\partial\beta \parallel (1 + \cos t\cos\alpha). \tag{2.80}$$

In Minkowski space the line element has the form:

$$ds^2 = (dx^0)^2 - (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2 \tag{2.81}$$

One can rewrite this in the spherical polar coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ):

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{2.82}$$

This metric is singular for $r = 0$ and $\sin\theta = 0$ but this is only a coordinate singularity. To get rid of it one has to restrict the coordinates to the ranges: $0 < r < \infty, 0 < \theta < \pi, 0 < \phi < 2\pi$ and one needs two such coordinate patches to cover the whole Minkowski space. Further one introduces the advanced and retarded null coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= t + r \\ w &= t - r \end{aligned} \tag{2.83}$$

Then one has:

$$ds^2 = dvdw - \frac{1}{4}(v - w)^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{2.84}$$

Also this coordinates can take infinite values. In the new coordinates p and q:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tan p = v \\ &\tan q = w \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\pi < p < \frac{1}{2}\pi, \quad -\frac{1}{2}\pi < q < \frac{1}{2}\pi, \quad p \geq q \end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

this infinities are transformed to finite values and the metric takes the form:

$$ds^2 = \sec^2 p \sec^2 q(dpdq - \frac{1}{4}\sin^2(p - q)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)) \tag{2.86}$$

One defines new coordinates:

$$t' = p + q, \quad r' = p - q$$

with

$$-\pi < t' + r' < \pi, \quad -\pi < t' - r' < \pi, \quad r' \geq 0 \tag{2.87}$$

The original metric g is conformal to the metric $\bar{g}$ given by:

$$d\bar{s}^2 = (dt')^2 - (dr')^2 - \sin^2 r'(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)) \tag{2.88}$$

Thus the Minkowski space-time is given by the region (2.87) of the metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4}\sec^2(t' + r')\sec^2(t' - r')d\bar{s}^2 \tag{2.89}$$

One can see that the metric (2.88) is locally identical to that of the space $R \times S^3$, Einstein static universe. In the later the r' coordinate is not limited. Suppressing two of the coordinates one can represent Einstein's static universe as the cylinder $x^2 + y^2 = 0$

in the three-dimensional Minkowski space: $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2$.

2.9. Infinitesimal transformations

Under coordinate transformation the components of tensors of the second rank transform as:

$$F'^{n}_{m}(y) = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^m}\frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^l} F^l_k(x). \tag{2.90}$$

For an infinitesimal transformation:

$$y^m = x^m + \xi^m, \tag{2.91}$$

(2.90) in the first order of ξ^m takes the form:

$$\delta_\xi F^l_k = \xi^m \partial_m F^l_k(x) + \partial_k \xi^m F^l_m(x) - \partial_m \xi^l F^m_k(x). \tag{2.92}$$

This expression is called the Lie derivative along a vector field ξ^m. For tensors of different rank the derivative has an analogous form.

The tensor densities transform in different way under the coordinat transformations:

$$D'^{n}_{m}(y) = \| \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \|^{n/4} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial y^m}\frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^l} D^l_k(x). \tag{2.93}$$

The quantity n in the power of the Jacobian is the conformal weight of the tensor density. The infinitesimal transformations for such objects is of the form:

$$\delta_\xi D^l_k = \xi^m \partial_m d^l_k(x) + \partial_k \xi^m D^l_m(x) - \partial_m \xi^l D^m_k(x) + \frac{n}{4}\partial_m \xi^m D^l_k. \tag{2.94}$$

The conformal Killing equatio can be shortly written as:

$$\delta_\xi g_{mn} = \epsilon g_{mn}. \tag{2.95}$$

3. Classical field theory

3.1. The vector Field

The Lagrangian for an electromagnetic field is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{g}g^{mk}g^{nl}F_{mn}F_{kl}, \tag{3.1}$$

where:

$$F_{mn} = \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m. \tag{3.2}$$

The equations of motion are:

$$\partial_m(\sqrt{g}F^{mn}) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under gauge transformations of the type:

$$A_m \rightarrow A_m + \partial_m \lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

The form of the Lagrangian shows us that the A_m are vectors (no densities) and they transform under the general coordinate transformations according to:

$$\delta A_m = A'_m(x) - A_m(x) = \xi^l \partial_l A_m + \partial_m \xi^l A_l. \tag{3.5}$$

Due to the gauge-freedom we may add a divergence term to it:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' A_m &= \delta A_m - \partial_m(\xi^l A_l) = \xi^l F_{lm} && (3.6)\\ \Rightarrow \delta' F_{mn} &= \partial_m(\xi^l F_{ln}) - \partial_n(\xi^l F_{lm}). && (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove that the action is invariant under (3.5) for ξ's corresponding to conformal transformations. The Lagrangian of the electro-magnetic field transforms as a scalar density of weight 4 under general coordinate transformations, so the variation:

$$\delta_G \mathcal{L} = \partial_m(\xi^m \mathcal{L}) = \partial_m(\xi^m \sqrt{g} F_{mn}F^{mn}), \tag{3.8}$$

and so the variation of the action vanishes: $\delta_G W = 0$.

Under conformal transformations the metric tensor transforms as $\delta g_{mn} = \epsilon g_{mn}$. One can check that the expression $g^{1/4}g^{mk}$ is invariant under conformal transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(g^{1/4}g^{mk}) &= \delta(g^{1/4})g^{mk} + g^{1/4}\delta g^{mk}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}g^{1/4}g^{nl}\epsilon g_{nl}g^{mk} - g^{1/4}\epsilon g^{mk} = 0 && (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

because $g^{nl}g_{nl} = 4$. From this one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\sqrt{g}g^{mk}g^{np}) = \delta(g^{1/4}g^{mk})g^{1/4}g^{np} + g^{1/4}g^{mk}\delta(g^{1/4}g^{np}) \\ = \ 0 \end{aligned}$$

So one can conclude that conformal transformations of the whole action (3.1) are equal to conformal transformations of the dynamical fields A_m only. Because of this and (3.8) the action is invariant under conformal transformations of the fields A_m.

The equation of motion (3.1) can be written with covariant derivatives:

$$\partial_m(\sqrt{g}F^{mn}) = \sqrt{g}(\partial_m F^{mn} + \Gamma^m_{ml}F^{ln} + \Gamma^n_{ml}F^{ml}) = \sqrt{g}D_m F^{mn}, \tag{3.10}$$

here is used that the partial derivative: $\partial_m\sqrt{g} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}}gg^{kl}\partial_m g_{kl} = \sqrt{g}\,\Gamma^k_{mk}$ and the fact that an antisymmetric tensor times a symmetric tensor vanishes, so one can add the last term. Hence the Maxwell equation is:

$$\sqrt{g}D_m F^{mn} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Since the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma's$ are symmetrical in the lower indices one can rewrite the stress tensor F_{mn} with covariant derivatives: $F_{mn} = D_m A_n - D_n A_m$ and the equations of motion take the form:

$$D^m(D_m A_n - D_n A_m) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

We would like to rearrange the second term. The covariant derivatives do not commute. After formula (2.27) with vanishing torsion one has:

$$D_m D_n A^m = [D_m, D_n]A^m + D_n D_m A^m = R^m_{mnr}A^r + D^n D_M A^m. \tag{3.13}$$

In the second term the trace of the Riemann tensor gives the Ricci tensor, so the equations of motion are:

$$D^m D_m A_n + R_{nm}A^m - D_n D_m A^m = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

One can see that in curved space the Maxwell equation contains a term with the Ricci tensor that had no analogous term in the flat space equations.

We can express the fields A as the superposition of the momentum eigenfunctions u_m, which are defined by the following equation:

$$\xi^l_a\partial_l u_m + \partial_m\xi^l_a u_l = -\mathrm{i}k_a u_m, \tag{3.15}$$

where the ξ^l_a are the Killing-vectors corresponding to the momentum generator P_a in the conformal algebra. We will now show that this equation is fulfilled by:

$$u_m = \partial_m(\varepsilon x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx), \tag{3.16}$$

where x's are the β dependent functions we have introduced above.
Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi^l_a \partial_l u_m + \partial_m \xi^l_a u_l \\
= \; & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} u_m + \partial_m(\xi^n_a u_n) - \xi^n_a \partial_m u_n \\
= \; & \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^a} + \partial_m(\varepsilon_b \xi^n_a \bar{\xi}^b_n \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx)) - \xi^n_a(\partial_m \partial_n \varepsilon x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) - \\
& -\xi^n_a \partial_n(\varepsilon x)\partial_m \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) \\
= \; & \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^a} + \varepsilon_a \partial_m \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) - \xi^n_a \partial_n \Big(\partial_m(\varepsilon x)\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx)\Big) + \\
& +\xi^n_a \partial_m(\varepsilon x)\partial_n \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) - \varepsilon_a \partial_m \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) \\
= \; & \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^a} - \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x^a} + \partial_m(\varepsilon x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) \\
= \; & \partial_m(\varepsilon x)(-\mathrm{i}k_a)\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) \\
= \; & -\mathrm{i}k_a u_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that through the inverse Killing-vectors the solution can be rewritten in the form:

$$u_m = \varepsilon_a \bar{\xi}^a_m \exp(-\mathrm{i}kx). \tag{3.17}$$

3.2. The gauge-fixing term

One defines the tensor f_{mn} as:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{mn} &= \partial_m u_n - \partial_n u_m \\
&= \mathrm{i}\varepsilon_a k_b(\bar{\xi}^a_m \bar{\xi}^b_n - \bar{\xi}^a_n \bar{\xi}^b_m)\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Inserting this terms into the Maxwell equations one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_m f^{mn} &= D_m\Big(g^{km} g^{ln} \mathrm{i}\varepsilon_a k_b(\bar{\xi}^a_k \bar{\xi}^b_l - \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\Big) \\
&= g^{km} g^{ln} \mathrm{i}\varepsilon_a k_b D_m(\bar{\xi}^a_k \bar{\xi}^b_l - \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}) + g^{km} g^{ln} \mathrm{i}\varepsilon_a k_b(\bar{\xi}^a_k \bar{\xi}^b_l - \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k)D_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}) \\
&= \Big(\mathrm{i}g^{km} g^{ln} \varepsilon_a k_b D_m(\bar{\xi}^a_k \bar{\xi}^b_l - \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k) - \frac{\eta^{cb}}{(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2} k_b k_c \varepsilon_a \bar{\xi}^a_l g^{ln} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta^{ca}}{(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2} \varepsilon_a k_c k_b \bar{\xi}^b_l g^{ln}\Big)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

It can be shown that first term is zero. We see that the second term is proportional to k^2 and the last term to εk .

Setting (3.19) equal to zero we obtain conditions for the k's and ε's such that the u's are special solutions of the equations of motion.

We would like to impose a gauge-condition upon the u's that leads to $\varepsilon k = 0$. In order to find such a condition, we consider the following condition for some unknown function $f(\beta)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_m(fu^m) &= \partial_m\left(f\varepsilon_a\bar{\xi}^a_n g^{mn}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\right) \\
&= -\mathrm{i}k_b\varepsilon_a f g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n\bar{\xi}^b_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + \partial_m(fg^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n)\varepsilon_a e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} \\
&= -\mathrm{i}k_b\varepsilon_a\eta^{ab}\frac{1}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}fe^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + \\
&\quad +\partial_m(fg^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n)\varepsilon_a e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} \\
&= 0. \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

As we see the first term is proportional to εk. We will now find an $f(\beta)$ such that the second term vanishes. This leads to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_m(fg^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n) = g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n\partial_m f + f\partial_m\bar{\xi}^{am} &= 0 \\
g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n\partial_m f &= -f\partial_m(\bar{\xi}^{am}) \\
\xi^l_a g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_n\partial_m f &= -\xi^l_a f\partial_m(\bar{\xi}^{am}) \\
g^{lm}\partial_m f &= -f\xi^l_a\partial_m(\bar{\xi}^{am}) \\
\partial_p f &= -f\xi_{ap}\partial_m(\bar{\xi}^{am}). \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

This equation is satisfied by :

$$f = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}. \qquad (3.22)$$

So we choose the gauge-condition to be :

$$\partial_m\left(\frac{\sqrt{g}}{(\cos t+\cos\alpha)^2}A^m\right) = 0. \qquad (3.23)$$

From equation (3.19) we get a condition for k_0:

$$k_0 = \sqrt{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2} \qquad (3.24)$$

The ε_a's can be developed in basis of linearly independent vectors ε^v_a.

Then the A's can be written as :

$$A_m = \int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}(a_v\varepsilon^v_a\bar{\xi}^a_m\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx) + a^+_v\varepsilon^v_a\bar{\xi}^a_m\exp(\mathrm{i}kx)). \qquad (3.25)$$

We now enlarge the Lagrangian by a gauge-fixing term:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{mn}F^{mn} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}T^2}[\partial_m(\sqrt{g}TA^m)]^2, \qquad (3.26)$$

where:

$$T = \frac{1}{(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2}, \tag{3.27}$$

The equations of motion now take the form:

$$D_m(F^{mn}) + Tg^{mn}\partial_m(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}T^2}\partial_l(\sqrt{g}TA^l)) = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

The gauge-fixing term is a scalar density of the weight 4, so it is invariant under general coordinate transformation δ_G. One can rewrite the gauge-fixing term in the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{1}{(g^{1/4}T)^2}[\partial_m(\sqrt{g}g^{mn}TA_n)]^2. \tag{3.29}$$

The term $g^{1/4}T$ has weight 2 and one can show that for Poincaré transformations:

$$\delta_P(g^{1/4}T) = \xi^l\partial_l(g^{1/4}T) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_l\xi^l(g^{1/4}T) = 0, \tag{3.30}$$

with the Killing-vectors corresponding to the translation and the Lorentz transformations from the conformal algebra (2.56). Using the last equality, the fact that for the conformal transformations $\delta_c(g^{1/4}g^{mn}) = 0$ and the chain rule, finally one gets:

$$0 = \delta_P\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{1}{(g^{1/4}T)^2}[\partial_m(\sqrt{g}g^{mn}T\delta_PA_n)]^2 \tag{3.31}$$

So the gauge-fixing term is invariant under the Poincaré transformations. However it is not invariant under conformal transformations.

4. Quantum field theory

4.1. Propagator quantization

The Green's function of the equation of motion (3.28) is:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn}(x,x') &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{k^2+\mathrm{i}\varepsilon}u^a_m(x)u^{b*}_n\eta_{ab} \\ &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{k^2+\mathrm{i}\varepsilon}\bar{\xi}^a_m(x)\bar{\xi}^b_n(x')\eta_{ab}\exp\left(\mathrm{i}k(x-x')\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with $u^a_m \equiv \bar{\xi}^a_m\exp(-\mathrm{i}kx)$.

To prove this we insert G_{mn} in the equation of motion (3.28). The x dependent part under the integral is $u^a_m\eta_{ab}$. In the following computation we will use only this part. With the results of the computations in (3.19) and (3.20), replacing ϵ_a by η_{ab}, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} & D_m\Big(g^{sm}g^{ln}(\partial_s u^a_l\eta_{ab}-\partial_l u^a_s\eta_{ab}\Big)+Tg^{mn}\partial_m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}T^2}\partial_l(\sqrt{g}Tg^{ls}u^a_s\eta_{ab})\right)= \\ = \; & -k^2T\eta_{ab}\bar{\xi}^a_l g^{ln}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}+\eta^{ca}\eta_{ab}Tk_ck_d\bar{\xi}^d_l g^{ln}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}- \\ & -Tg^{mn}\partial_m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}T^2}\mathrm{i}k_d\eta_{ab}\eta^{ad}T^2\sqrt{g}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}\right) \\ = \; & -k^2T\eta_{ab}\bar{\xi}^a_l g^{ln}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Finally the action of the operator of the equation of motion L^{ln} on the Green's function gives:

$$L^{ln}G_{lr}=\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{\mathrm{i}}{k^2+\mathrm{i}\varepsilon}\bar{\xi}^b_r(x')k^2T\eta_{ab}\bar{\xi}^a_l(x)g^{ln}\exp\left(\mathrm{i}k(x-x')\right)=\mathrm{i}\delta^n_r\delta^4(x-x'). \tag{4.3}$$

Here according to (2.76) it has been used that: $T\eta_{ab}\bar{\xi}^a_l(x)\bar{\xi}^b_r(x)=g_{lr}$.

One can perform the k_0 integration in the Green's function (4.1):

$$G_{mn}(x)=-\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0}\eta_{ab}\bar{\xi}^a_m(x)\bar{\xi}^b_n(x')\left\{\theta(x^0-x'^0)e^{-\mathrm{i}k(x-x')}+\theta(x'^0-x^0)e^{\mathrm{i}k(x-x')}\right\} \tag{4.4}$$

with $k_0=\sqrt{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2}$.

On the other side one has the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{mn}(x) &= \langle 0|\theta(x^0-x'^0)A_m(x)A_n(x')+\theta(x'^0-x^0)A_n(x')A_m(x)|0\rangle \\ &= \int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2p_0}}\Big\{\theta(x^0-x'^0)[a_a(k),a^+_b(p)]\bar{\xi}^a_m(x)\bar{\xi}^b_n(x')e^{-\mathrm{i}kx+\mathrm{i}px'}+ \\ &\qquad +\theta(x'^0-x^0)[a_a(p),a^+_b(k)]\bar{\xi}^a_n(x')\bar{\xi}^b_m(x)e^{\mathrm{i}kx-\mathrm{i}px'}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The comparison with the formula (4.4) shows that the creation and annihilation operators must fulfill the equality:

$$[a_a(k), a_b^+(p)] = -\eta_{ab}\delta^3(k-p) \tag{4.6}$$

From one side the momentum operator acts on the field as:

$$[\mathrm{i}P_b, A_m] = \int \tilde{k}[\mathrm{i}P_b, a_a]\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + [\mathrm{i}P_b, a_a^+]\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{\mathrm{i}kx} \tag{4.7}$$

On the other side we know how the momentum operator acts on its eigenmodes $u(x)$:

$$\delta_b A_m = \int \tilde{k} a_a(-\mathrm{i}k_b)\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + a_a^+ \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{\mathrm{i}kx} \tag{4.8}$$

Comparing the last two formulas one gets for the operator:

$$[\mathrm{i}P_b, a_a^+] = \mathrm{i}k_b a_a^+ \tag{4.9}$$

showing that a_a^+ is indeed a creation operator, in the sense that it creates momentum.

4.2. Creation and annihilation operators

In this section a and a^+'s will be expressed as functions of the fields and its canonical momentum. For mathematical simplicity computations will be carry out for $x_0 = 0$. The mode expansion of the field for the polarisazion vector: $\varepsilon^\nu_a = \delta^\nu_a$ is:

$$A_m = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}(a_a(k)\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + a_a^+(k)\bar{\xi}^a_m e^{\mathrm{i}kx}). \tag{4.10}$$

Multiplying both sides with a term and integrating over the $\beta = (t, \alpha, \theta, \phi)$ curved coordinates one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3\beta\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0\bar{\xi}^m_b e^{\mathrm{i}px}A_m = \\
= &\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^6\sqrt{2k_0}}\int d^3\beta\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0(a_b(k)e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} + a_b^+(k)e^{\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where T is given through the formula (3.27) and the zero component of the inverse Killing-vector is: $\bar{\xi}^0_0 = (1-\cos t\cos\alpha)/(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2$. From the formula (2.79) one can see that the combination $\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0$ is the Jacobian $det_3 \parallel \partial x/\partial\beta \parallel$, and one can carry out the integration over β on the right side. So finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3\beta\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0\bar{\xi}^m_b e^{\mathrm{i}px}A_m = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}[a_b(k)\delta(k-p) + a_b^+(k)\delta(k+p)] = \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2p_0}}[a_b(\vec{k}) + a_b^+(-\vec{k})].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

These are four equations and one needs some additional four to solve for the eight unknown functions a_a, a^+_a.

The canonical conjugate momentum is:

$$\Pi_m = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 A^m)}. \tag{4.12}$$

In the formula for the Lagrangian (3.26) the first term gives the following contribution to the momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_m = -\sqrt{g}(\partial_0 A_m - \partial_m A_0) \\
= \; & -\sqrt{g}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\Big\{a_a(k)[\partial_0\bar{\xi}^a_m - \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}^b_0\bar{\xi}^a_m]e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + a^+_a(k)[\partial_0\bar{\xi}^a_m + \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}^b_0\bar{\xi}^a_m]e^{\mathrm{i}kx} - \\
& -a_a(k)[\partial_m\bar{\xi}^a_0 - \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}^b_m\bar{\xi}^a_0]e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} - a^+_a(k)[\partial_m\bar{\xi}^a_0 + \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}^b_m\bar{\xi}^a_0]e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\Big\} \\
= \; & \sqrt{g}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\Big\{a_a(k)\mathrm{i}k_b[\bar{\xi}^b_0\bar{\xi}^a_m - \bar{\xi}^b_m\bar{\xi}^a_0]e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} - \\
& -a^+_a(k)\mathrm{i}k_b[\bar{\xi}^b_0\bar{\xi}^a_m - \bar{\xi}^b_m\bar{\xi}^a_0]e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Multiplying both sides with the expression $T\xi^m_c e^{\mathrm{i}px}$, for $t = 0$ (initial time) on the right side one gets :

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_a(k)k_b[\bar{\xi}^b_0\bar{\xi}^a_m - \bar{\xi}^b_m\bar{\xi}^a_0]\xi^m_c = a_c(k)k_b\bar{\xi}^b_0 - a_a(k)k_c\xi^a_0 = \\
& = [a_c(k)k_0 - a_0(k)k_c]\bar{\xi}^0_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Here is used that for $t = 0$ $(x_0 = 0)$ only the inverse Killing vector $\bar{\xi}^0$ has a non-vanishing zero component: $\bar{\xi}^0_0 \neq 0$ and for the other three vectors: $\bar{\xi}^0_i = 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ (2.75).

Integrating the last expression over the curved coordinates β one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^3\beta T\xi^m_c e^{\mathrm{i}px}\Pi_m = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\int d^3\beta \mathrm{i}\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0\Big\{[a_c(k)k_0 - a_0(k)k_c]e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} - \\
& [a^+_c(k)k_0 - a^+_0(k)k_c]e^{\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Remembering that $\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0$ is the Jacobian (2.79),with $T = 1/(\cos t + \cos\alpha)^2$, one can carry out the x integration that leads to a delta functions. At the last step one integrates over the momenta on the right side and finally:

$$\int d^3\beta T\xi^m_c e^{\mathrm{i}px}\Pi_m = \frac{1}{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{2p_0}}\Big\{a_c(p)p_0 - a_0(p)p_c - a^+_c(-\vec{p})p_0 + a^+_0(-\vec{p})\bar{p}_c\Big\}, \tag{4.16}$$

with $\bar{p} \equiv (p_0, -\vec{p})$.

The second term in the Lagrangian (3.26) gives the following contribution:

$$\Pi'_n = -\delta^0_n\frac{1}{T}\partial_k(\sqrt{g}TA^k) = -\delta^0_n\Big\{\frac{1}{T}\partial_k(\sqrt{g}T)g^{kl}A_l + \sqrt{g}\partial_k A_l g^{kl}\Big\}. \tag{4.17}$$

For the metric of $R\times S^3$ one has: $\partial_k g^{kl} = 0$. In the following both sides will be multiplied with some unknown vector $f_c^0(\beta)$, with $c = 0, 1, 2, 3$. During the computations one can see which choice is convenient for this vector (which allows us to carry out the integration over the β coordinates). Integrating (4.17) over β one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} - \quad \int d^3\beta \Pi_0' f_c^0 e^{\mathrm{i}px} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}} \int d^3\beta f_c^0 g^{kl} \Big\{ \frac{1}{T}\partial_k(\sqrt{g}T)[a_a(k)\bar{\xi}_l^a e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} + \\ a_a^+(k)\bar{\xi}_l^a e^{\mathrm{i}(k+p)}] + \sqrt{g}\Big(a_a(k)[\partial_k\bar{\xi}_l^a - \mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}_k^b\bar{\xi}_l^a]e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} + a_a^+(k)[\partial_k\bar{\xi}_l^a + \\ +\mathrm{i}k_b\bar{\xi}_k^b\bar{\xi}_l^a]e^{\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}\Big)\Big\}. \qquad (4.18) \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.21) one can see that on the space $R\times S^3$ the inverse conformal Killing-vectors fulfill the equality:

$$\frac{1}{T}\partial_k(\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}_l^a) = g^{kl}\left(\sqrt{g}\partial_k\bar{\xi}_l^a + \frac{1}{T}\partial_k(\sqrt{g}T)\bar{\xi}_l^a\right) = 0. \qquad (4.19)$$

So two terms remain on the right side(containing the $\bar{\xi}_k^b\bar{\xi}_l^a$ products) and with (2.76) one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} - \quad \int d^3\beta \Pi_0' f_c^0 e^{\mathrm{i}px} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}} \int d^3\beta \sqrt{g} f_c^0 \Big\{ -\mathrm{i}a_a(k)k_b\eta^{ab}Te^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} + \\ +\mathrm{i}a_a^+(k)k_b\eta^{ab}Te^{\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}\Big\} \qquad (4.20) \end{aligned}$$

One can see that for $f_c^0 = T\xi_c^0$ $(c = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ it is easy to take the integral on the right side. Actually evaluating this at initial time $t = 0$ $(x_0 = 0)$ this vector has non-vanishing components only for $c = 0$. Again we use the fact that the combination $\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}_0^0$ is equal to the three Jacobian of the transformation from the coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) to the curved ones $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}} \mathrm{i}\delta_c^0 \Big\{ -a_a(k)k^a\delta(k-p) + a_a^+(k)k^a\delta(k+p)\Big\} = \\ = \frac{\delta_c^0}{(-\mathrm{i})\sqrt{2p_0}}\left(-a_a(\vec{p})p^a + a_a^+(-\vec{p})\bar{p}^a\right). \qquad (4.21) \end{aligned}$$

The results (4.16) and (4.21) give:

$$\begin{aligned} (-i)\sqrt{2p_0}\int d^3\beta \Pi_m f_c^m e^{\mathrm{i}px} = \\ = a_c(p)p_0 - a_0(p)p_c - a_c^+(-\vec{p})p_0 + a_0^+(-\vec{p})\bar{p}_c + (a_a(\vec{p})p^a - a_a^+(-\vec{p})\bar{p}^a)\delta_c^0. \quad (4.22) \end{aligned}$$

Here f_c^m denotes the summarized four-vector that was multiplied in the formulas (4.16)and (4.21) to simplify the integration:

$$f_c^m = \begin{pmatrix} T\xi_c^0 \\ T\xi_c^1 \\ T\xi_c^2 \\ T\xi_c^3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.23)$$

with T from (3.27) and the Killing-vectors from (2.69).

Introducing the vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_b(\vec{p}) &= \sqrt{2p_0}\int d^3\beta\sqrt{g}T\bar{\xi}^0_0\bar{\xi}^m_b e^{\mathrm{i}px}A_m, \\
N_b(\vec{p}) &= \mathrm{i}\sqrt{2p_0}\int d^3\beta\Pi_m f^m_c e^{\mathrm{i}px},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

one gets the following system of the eight algebraic equations for unknown functions $a_a(\vec{k})$ and $a^+_a(-\vec{k})$, with the subindex $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a_a + a^+_a = M_a \\
&-a_0p_0 + a_1p_1 + a_2p_2 + a_3p_3 + a^+_0p_0 + a^+_1p_1 + a^+_2p_2 + a^+_3p_3 = N_0 \\
&-a_1p_0 + a_0p_1 + a^+_1p_0 + a^+_0p_1 = N_1 \\
&-a_2p_0 + a_0p_2 + a^+_2p_0 + a^+_0p_2 = N_2 \\
&-a_3p_0 + a_0p_3 + a^+_3p_0 + a^+_0p_3 = N_3.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.25}
$$

The solution of this system for $x_0 = 0$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_0(\vec{p}) &= \frac{p_0M_0 + p_1M_1 + p_2M_2 + p_3M_3 - N_0}{2p_0} \\
a_i(\vec{p}) &= \frac{p_iM_0 + p_0M_i - N_i}{2p_0} \\
a^+_0(\vec{p}) &= \frac{p_0\bar{M}_0 + p_1\bar{M}_1 + p_2\bar{M}_2 + p_3\bar{M}_3 + \bar{N}_0}{2p_0} \\
a^+_i(\vec{p}) &= \frac{p_i\bar{M}_0 + p_0\bar{M}_i + \bar{N}_i}{2p_0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.26}
$$

where $\bar{M}(\vec{p}) \equiv M(-\vec{p})$, $\bar{N}(\vec{p}) \equiv N(-\vec{p})$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$.

4.3. The Interactions with a scalar density field

One can introduce the couplings with a vector field into the free scalar theory through a substitution in the scalar Lagrangian:

$$
p_m \rightarrow p_m + \mathrm{i}A_m,
\tag{4.27}
$$

where A_m is a gauge field. The conformally invariant Lagrangian of the charged scalar field:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = g^{1/4}g^{mn}\partial_m\phi^*\partial_n\phi - \frac{1}{6}\hat{R} \mid \phi \mid^2
\tag{4.28}
$$

where $\hat{R}$ is a Ricci scalar corresponding to the metric $g^{1/4}g^{mn}$ and the $\phi's$ are scalar densities of weight 1. Together with the free vector field Lagrangian (3.1), and using (4.27), one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \;=\; & \mathcal{L}_{\text{maxwell}} + g^{1/4}g^{mn}(\partial_m - \mathrm{i}A_m)\phi^*(\partial_n + \mathrm{i}A_n)\phi - \frac{1}{6}\hat{R} \mid \phi \mid^2 \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{rmmaxwell} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} + \mathrm{i}g^{1/4}g^{mn}A_m(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi) + \\ & g^{1/4}g^{mn}A_mA_n \mid \phi \mid^2 .\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

This Lagrangian describes the interaction of the scalar with an vector field.

The last term in this expression is invariant under general coordinate transformations, because it is a product of the scalar $g^{mn}A_mA_n$ and the scalar density $g^{1/4} \mid \phi \mid^2$ of weight 4. As the metric tensor just enters through the conformally invariant combination: $g^{1/4}g^{mn}$, this term is invariant under conformal transformation of the fields A_m

$$\delta_c(g^{1/4}A^2 \mid \phi \mid^2) = \partial_n(\xi^n g^{1/4}A^2 \mid \phi \mid^2). \tag{4.30}$$

The third term is conformally invariant because $\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi$ transforms as a vector density of weight 2:

$$\begin{aligned}& \delta(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi) = (\xi^l\partial_l\phi + \frac{1}{4}\partial_l\xi^l\phi)\phi^* + \phi(\xi^l\partial_l\phi^* + \frac{1}{4}\partial_l\xi^l\phi^*) - \\ & -(\xi^l\partial_l\phi^* + \frac{1}{4}\partial_l\xi^l\phi^*)\phi - \phi^*(\xi^l\partial_l\phi + \frac{1}{4}\partial_l\xi^l\phi) \\ & = \xi^l\partial_l(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi) + \partial_n\xi^l(\phi\partial_l\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_l\phi) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_l\xi^l(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi)\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Hence the whole third term in the Lagrangian is a scalar density of weight 4. The equations of motion now are:

$$\sqrt{g}D_mF^{mn} + \mathrm{i}g^{1/4}g^{mn}(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi) + 2g^{1/4}g^{mn}A_m \mid \phi \mid^2 = 0, \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\partial_m\left(g^{1/4}g^{mn}(\partial_n + \mathrm{i}A_n)\phi\right) + \mathrm{i}g^{1/4}g^{mn}A_n(\partial_n + \mathrm{i}A_n)\phi + \frac{1}{6}\hat{R}\phi = 0. \tag{4.33}$$

For the field ϕ one has:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\left(b(k)w + c^+(k)w^*\right) \\ \phi^+ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\left(b^+(k)w^* + c(k)w\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

In the case of the scalar density the eigenfunctions of the momentum operator w are:

$$w = g^{1/8}\sqrt{T}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} \tag{4.35}$$

with T from (3.27) and x^m from (2.71). $b^+(k)$ creates particles with momentum k_m and $c^+(k)$ creates the corresponding antiparticles. For convenience we call the factor in front of the exponent f:

$$f \equiv g^{1/8}\sqrt{T}. \tag{4.36}$$

In order to find the vertex factor in the Feynman diagrams one must consider the interaction terms in the Lagrangian (4.29). The Fourier transformed of the fields $A_m(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(x) &= \int d^4p\ \bar{\xi}^a_m e^{\mathrm{i}px}\tilde{A}_a(p) \\ \phi(x) &= \int d^4p\ g^{1/8}\sqrt{T}e^{\mathrm{i}px}\tilde{\phi}(p) \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

In the third term the derivatives $\partial_n\phi$ and $\partial_n\phi^*$ contain also derivatives of f. Next, this part will be investigated:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \int\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2p_0}}(b(p)fe^{-\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)b^+(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx} + \\ &+b(k)fe^{-\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)c(k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + c^+(p)fe^{\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)b^+(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx} + \\ &+c^+(p)fe^{\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)c(k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} - b^+(p)fe^{\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)b(k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} - \\ &-b^+(k)fe^{\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)c^+(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx} - c(p)fe^{-\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)b(k)e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} - \\ &-c(p)fe^{-\mathrm{i}px}(\partial_n f)c^+(k)e^{\mathrm{i}kx}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Exchanging in the last four terms the $k's$ and $p's$ there remain only four terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2k_0}}\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2p_0}}[b(p),b^+(k)]f(\partial_n f)e^{\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} + [c^+(p),c(k)]f(\partial_n f)e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} \\ &= \Big([b(p),b^+(k)] - [c(p),c^+(k)]\Big)\, f(\partial_n f)e^{\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Where in the last term k and p have been exchanged again. Furthermore it has been used that the b and c operators fulfill the same commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [b(p),b^+(k)] &= (2\pi)^3\delta(p-k), \\ [c(p),c^+(k)] &= (2\pi)^3\delta(p-k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

So the terms with derivatives of the functions f (4.36) vanish.

The last computation has shown that the vertex contribution from the first part of the third term is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta\ g^{1/4}g^{mn}A_m\phi\partial_n\phi^* \\ &= \mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}g^{1/4}g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_m g^{1/4}Te^{\mathrm{i}(p+k_1)x} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_n e^{-\mathrm{i}k_2 x})\tilde{A}_a(p)\tilde{\phi}(k_1)\tilde{\phi}^*(k_2)\\
&= -\mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4} g^{mn} g^{1/2} T \bar{\xi}^a_m \mathrm{i}(k_2)_b \bar{\xi}^b_n\\
&\times e^{\mathrm{i}(p+k_1-k_2)x}\tilde{A}_a(p)\tilde{\phi}(k_1)\tilde{\phi}^*(k_2)\\
&= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\eta^{ab}(k_2)_b e^{\mathrm{i}(p+k_1-k_2)x}\tilde{A}_a(p)\tilde{\phi}(k_1)\tilde{\phi}^*(k_2)\\
&= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\eta^{ab}(k_2)_b (2\pi)^4\delta^4(p+k_1-k_2)\\
&\times \tilde{A}_a(p)\tilde{\phi}(k_1)\tilde{\phi}^*(k_2). \qquad (4.41)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for the whole third term one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta\ \ g^{1/4} g^{mn} A_m(\phi\partial_n\phi^* - \phi^*\partial_n\phi)\\
&= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\eta^{ab}\left((k_1)_b + (k_2)_b\right)(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p+k_1-k_2)\\
&\times \tilde{A}_a(p)\tilde{\phi}(k_1)\tilde{\phi}^*(k_2), \qquad (4.42)
\end{aligned}
$$

that gives the factor: $((k_1)^a + (k_2)^a)(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p+k_1-k_2)$

From the fourth term in the Lagrangian one gets for the vertex factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta\ \ g^{1/4} g^{mn}\phi\phi^* A_m A_n\\
&= \mathrm{i}\int d^4\beta \int \frac{d^4p_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4p_2}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4} g^{1/2} T g^{mn}\bar{\xi}^a_m \bar{\xi}^b_n\\
&\times e^{-\mathrm{i}(p_1-p_2+k_1+k_2)x}\tilde{\phi}(p_1)\tilde{\phi}(p_2)\tilde{A}_a(k_1)\tilde{A}_b(k_2)\\
&= \mathrm{i}\int \frac{d^4p_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4p_2}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p_1-p_2+k_1+k_2)\\
&\times \tilde{\phi}(p_1)\tilde{\phi}(p_2)\tilde{A}_a(k_1)\tilde{A}_b(k_2), \qquad (4.43)
\end{aligned}
$$

that gives the factor: $(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p_1-p_2+k_1+k_2)$

4.4. The energy spectrum

Using the formulas (2.64) and (3.8) one can write the conserved current corresponding to the momentum operators P_f:

$$\begin{aligned} j^n_f &= \delta A_m \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_n A_m} - \xi^n_f \mathcal{L} \\ &= \delta A_m \left[-\sqrt{g} F^{mn} - \frac{1}{T} g^{nm} \partial_k (\sqrt{g} T g^{kl} A_l) \right] + \\ &\quad + \xi^n_f \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F^{mn} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g} T^2} [\partial_m (\sqrt{g} T A^m)]^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

Next the offdiagonal terms will be computed, so in the mode expansion for the A_m's (4.10) only the part $a_c u^c_m$ is considered. For convenience it will be used: $\tilde{dk} \equiv d^3k/(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2k_0}$. Using (3.15) one gets for the first term in the last expression:

$$(W_1)^n_f = \int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ (-\mathrm{i}) k_f a_c(k) \bar{\xi}^c_m (-\sqrt{g}) g^{kn} g^{lm} \mathrm{i} a_a(p) p_b [\bar{\xi}^a_k \bar{\xi}^b_l - \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k] e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}$$

with (2.76) one further gets:

$$\begin{aligned} (W_1)^n_f &= -\int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ k_f a_c(k) \sqrt{g} g^{kn} a_a(p) p_b T [\eta^{bc} \bar{\xi}^a_k - \eta^{ac} \bar{\xi}^b_k] e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} \\ &= -\int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ k_f \sqrt{g} g^{kn} a_a(p) T \eta^{ab} \bar{\xi}^c_k [p_b a_c(k) - p_c a_b(k)] e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

For the second term in the formula (4.44) one has:

$$\begin{aligned} (W_2)^n_f = & \int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ \mathrm{i} k_f a_c(k) \bar{\xi}^c_m \frac{1}{T} \Big[\partial_k (\sqrt{g} T g^{kl}) a_a(p) \bar{\xi}^a_l e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} + \\ & + \sqrt{g} T g^{kl} a_a(p) (\partial_k \bar{\xi}^a_l - \mathrm{i} p_b \bar{\xi}^a_l \bar{\xi}^b_k) e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

One can compute that the inverse Killing-vectors fulfill the following equality:

$$g^{kl} \partial_k (\sqrt{g} T \bar{\xi}^a_l) = 0. \tag{4.46}$$

Using this, one can see that the first two terms in W_2 vanish and that only the last term remains:

$$(W_2)^n_f = \int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ p_b k_f a_c(k) a_a(p) \bar{\xi}^c_k g^{kn} \sqrt{g} T \eta^{ab} e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}. \tag{4.47}$$

This term cancels with the first term of W_1 and:

$$(W_1)^n_f + (W_2)^n_f = \int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp} \ k_f T a_a(p) a_b(k) \eta^{ab} p_c \bar{\xi}^c_k \sqrt{g} g^{kn} e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}. \tag{4.48}$$

From (2.76) one has:

$$\bar{\xi}^d_k g^{kn} \eta_{ed} \eta^{ec} = T \xi^n_e \eta^{ec}, \tag{4.49}$$

and finally:

$$(W_1)^n_f + (W_2)^n_f = \int \tilde{d}k \int \tilde{d}p \;\; k_f a_a(p) a_b(k) T^2 \xi^n_e \eta^{ec} \eta^{ab} p_c \sqrt{g} e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}, \tag{4.50}$$

The third term in (4.44) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
(W_3)^n_f &= -\frac{1}{4}\xi^n_f \sqrt{g} g^{mk} g^{nl} \int \tilde{d}k \int \tilde{d}p \;\; a_a(k) k_b a_c(p) p_d (\bar{\xi}^a_m \bar{\xi}^b_n - \bar{\xi}^a_n \bar{\xi}^b_m)(\bar{\xi}^c_k \bar{\xi}^d_l - \bar{\xi}^c_l \bar{\xi}^d_k) e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\xi^n_f \sqrt{g} \int \tilde{d}k \int \tilde{d}p a_a(k) k_b a_c(p) p_d 2T^2 (\eta^{ac}\eta^{bd} - \eta^{ad}\eta^{bc}) e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

and for the fourth term, from (4.44) and using once more the equality (4.46), there remains:

$$(W_4)^n_f = -\xi^n_f \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g} T^2 \int \tilde{d}k \int \tilde{d}p \;\; \eta^{ab} a_a(p) p_b \eta^{cd} a_c(k) k_d e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x}. \tag{4.52}$$

Adding up all four terms one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag1}})^n_f &= (W_1)^n_f + (W_2)^n_f + (W_3)^n_f + (W_4)^n_f \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g} T^2 \int \tilde{d}k \int \tilde{d}p \;\; e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} \Big[\xi^n_f a_a(p) p_b a_c(k) k_d \\
&+ \xi^n_f a_a(p) p_c a_b(k) k_d - \xi^n_f a_a(p) p_a a_c(k) k_b - 2\xi^n_d a_a(p) p_f a_b(k) k_c \Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

The subindex 1 in $\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag1}}$ means that it contains only the annihilation operators.

One gets the same result as (4.53) for the part $a^+_c u^{c*}_m$ from the formula (4.10), but the annihilation operators a must be replaced by the creation operators a^+. The corresponding current is denoted as $\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag2}}$. So the part of the Hamiltonian corresponding to the offdiagonal terms for initial time $t = 0\,(x_0 = 0)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \int d^3\beta (\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag}})^0_0 = \int d^3\beta (\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag1}})^0_0 + (\jmath_{\mathrm{offdiag2}})^0_0 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0} \Big[\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd} (a_a(-k) a_c(k) \bar{k}_b k_d + a_a(-k) a_b(k) \bar{k}_c k_d - \\
&- a_a(-k) a_c(k) \bar{k}_d k_b) - 2\eta^{ab} k_0^2 a_a(-k) a_b(k) \Big] + [a \to a^+].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Here is used that $\sqrt{g} T^2 \xi^0_0$ is the determinant of the Jacobian $\parallel \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial x} \parallel_3$ and hence:

$$\int d^3\beta \sqrt{g} T^2 \xi^0_0 e^{-\mathrm{i}(k+p)x} = (2\pi)^3 \delta(k+p). \tag{4.55}$$

The second and the fourth terms cancel:

$$H_1 = -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 4k_0} \eta^{ab}\eta^{cd} \Big[a_a(-k) a_c(k) \bar{k}_b k_d - a_a(-k) a_c(k) \bar{k}_d k_b \Big] + [a \to a^+], \tag{4.56}$$

one can give a simpler form to this expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0} \eta^{ab}\eta^{cd} a_a(-k)a_c(k)k_0[\delta^0_b k_d - \delta^0_d k_b] + [a \to a^+] \\
&= -\int \frac{d^3k}{2(2\pi)^3} \eta^{ab}[a_0(-k)a_b(k)k_a - a_0(k)a_b(-k)k_a] = +[a \to a^+] \\
&= \int \frac{d^3k}{2(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ij} a_0(-k)a_j(k)k_i + [a \to a^+] \qquad (4.57)
\end{aligned}$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

For the diagonal terms, containing $a_a(k)a^+_b(p)$, one has an expression similar to (4.53), but with reversed signs and where the exponential function has a different power:

$$\begin{aligned}
(j_{\mathrm{diag1}})^n_f &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}T^2 \int \tilde{dk} \int \tilde{dp}\ e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} \eta^{ab}\eta^{cd} \Big[\xi^n_f a^+_a(p)p_b a_c(k)k_d \\
&+ \xi^n_f a_b(k)k_c a^+_a(p)p_d - \xi^n_f a_c(k)k_b a^+_a(p)p_d - 2\xi^n_d a_b(k)k_f a^+_a(p)p_c\Big]. \qquad (4.58)
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining diagonal terms, containing $a^+_a(k)a_b(p)$, give the same result as the last expression, so together the diagonal terms give (4.58) without the factor $\frac{1}{2}$. In the Hamiltonian one finally has for the time $x_0 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2 &= \int d^3\beta 2(j_{\mathrm{diag1}})^0_0 = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0} \Big[\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd}\Big(a^+_a(k)a_c(k)k_b k_d + a^+_a(k)a_b(k)k_c k_d - \\
&- a^+_a(k)a_c(k)k_b k_d\Big) - 2\eta^{ab}k_0^2 a^+_a(k)a_b(k)\Big] \\
&= -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k_0 \eta^{ab} a^+_a(k)a_b(k). \qquad (4.59)
\end{aligned}$$

Here it was used again that:

$$\int d^3\beta \sqrt{g} T^2 \xi^0_0 e^{-\mathrm{i}(k-p)x} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(k-p). \qquad (4.60)$$

and the fact that only the Killing vector ξ^m_0 has nonvanishing zero component at time $x_0 = 0$ and for other three $\xi^0_i = 0$ by $i = 1, 2, 3.$ at this time.

The total Hamiltonian is the sum of the diagonal and the offdiagonal parts and for the original time :

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= H_1 + H_2 = -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k_0 \eta^{ab} a^+_a(k)a_b(k) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{4k_0}\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd}\left[a_a(-k)a_c(k)\bar{k}_b k_d - a_a(-k)a_c(k)\bar{k}_d k_b\right] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{4k_0}\eta^{ab}\eta^{cd}\left[a^+_a(-k)a^+_c(k)\bar{k}_b k_d - a^+_a(-k)a^+_c(k)\bar{k}_d k_b\right]. \qquad (4.61)
\end{aligned}$$

We will come back to this formula at the end of the next section.

4.5. The Physical States

In formula (4.61) not all creation and annihilation operators correspond to physical states. One introduces an hermitian operator, the BRS operator: $s = s^{+}$. This operator is nilpotent: $s^2 = 0$.

One can decompose the creation operator, in the parts in the direction of the light-like momentum k, in the direction $\bar{k}^a = (k^0, -k^1, -k^2, -k^3)$, and in two directions $n^i, i = 1, 2$ (orthogonal to those and one-another).

$$a_m^{+}(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\nu=k,\bar{k},1,2} \epsilon_m^{\nu} a_{\nu}^{+}(\vec{k}). \tag{4.62}$$

Here ϵ_m^{ν} is a polarization vector. One possible choice is:

$$\epsilon_a^{\nu} = \left(\frac{k_a}{\sqrt{2}k^0}, \frac{\bar{k}_a}{\sqrt{2}k^0}, n_a^1, n_a^2 \right). \tag{4.63}$$

The inverse vector is:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\nu}^{a} = \left(\frac{\bar{k}^a}{\sqrt{2}k^0}, \frac{-k^a}{\sqrt{2}k^0}, (n^1)^a, (n^2)^a \right), \tag{4.64}$$

because it is fulfilled:

$$\epsilon^{\nu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\nu'} = \eta^{ab}\epsilon_a^{\nu}\bar{\epsilon}_b^{\nu'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.65}$$

The scalar product of two polarization vectors is:

$$\epsilon^{\nu}\epsilon^{\nu'} = \eta^{ab}\epsilon_a^{\nu}\epsilon_b^{\nu'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.66}$$

The physical states have a gauge freedom:

$$A'_m = A_m + \partial_m C, \tag{4.67}$$

where the field C has to satisfy the equation:

$$\partial_m(\sqrt{g}T g^{mn}\partial_m C) = 0 \tag{4.68}$$

Using the result of the formula (3.20) and the condition $k^2 = 0$ one can see that:

$$\partial_m C = k_a \xi_m^a e^{-ikx}. \tag{4.69}$$

So the general solution of the equation (4.68) is the superposition:

$$\partial_m C = \int \tilde{d}k \left(c k_a \xi^a_m e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + c^+ k_a \xi^a_m e^{\mathrm{i}kx} \right), \tag{4.70}$$

where c and c^+ are the annihilation and creation operators of the field C. Finally:

$$C = \int \tilde{d}k \left(c e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + c^+ e^{\mathrm{i}kx} \right). \tag{4.71}$$

The physical states $\mathcal{N}$ are defined as equivalence classes of the states that vanishes under the action of the BRS operator:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ |\psi\rangle : s|\psi\rangle = 0, |\psi\rangle mod \quad s|\Lambda\rangle, \forall \quad |\Lambda\rangle \} \tag{4.72}$$

. So the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle + s|\Lambda\rangle$ are equivalent because of the nilpotency.

One can add to the Lagrangian a term of the form: $\mathrm{i}sX(\phi, \partial\phi, \ldots)$, the total Lagrangian will remain invariant under the BRS transformations: $s\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = 0$. Here as fields ϕ are understood the real bosonic vector field A_m, the auxiliary fields B, the real fermionic ghost C and antighost fields $\bar{C}$. One defines the action of the BRS operator on this fields as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &s\bar{C}(x) = \mathrm{i}B(x), \qquad sB(x) = 0, \\ &sA_m(x) = \partial_m C(x), \qquad sC(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

This fields have ghost numbers:

$$gh(\bar{C}) = -1, \quad gh(B) = 0, \quad gh(A_m) = 0, \quad gh(C) = 1. \tag{4.74}$$

The Lagrangian must have ghost number 0 and so $gh(X) = -1$. A possible choice for it is:

$$X = \bar{C} \left(-\frac{1}{2} B + \frac{4}{g^{1/4} T} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} A_n) \right). \tag{4.75}$$

Here T is always: $T = (\cos t + \cos\alpha)^{-2}$. Acting on this function with the BRS operator one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{i}s \left[\bar{C} \left(-\frac{1}{2} B + \frac{1}{2g^{1/4} T} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} A_n) \right) \right] = \\ &= -\frac{B}{2} \left(-B + \frac{1}{g^{1/4} T} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} A_n) \right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2g^{1/4} T} \bar{C} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} \partial_n C) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(B - \frac{1}{g^{1/4} T} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} A_n) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g} T^2} [\partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} A_n)]^2 + \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2g^{1/4} T} \bar{C} \partial_m (g^{mn} T \sqrt{g} \partial_n C). \end{aligned} \tag{4.76}$$

From the equation of motion for the field B it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{1}{g^{1/4}T}\partial_m(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}A_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{g^{1/4}T}\partial_m\left(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\int d^3\tilde{k}(a_a^+\bar{\xi}_n^a e^{\mathrm{i}kx} + a_a\bar{\xi}_n^a e^{-\mathrm{i}kx})\right) \\ &= \mathrm{i}\int d^3\tilde{k}\frac{1}{g^{1/4}T}g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\xi_n^a\xi_m^b(a_a^+\bar{\xi}_n^a e^{\mathrm{i}kx} - a_a\bar{\xi}_n^a e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}) \\ &= \mathrm{i}g^{1/4}T\int d^3\tilde{k}\eta^{ab}k_b(a_a^+ e^{\mathrm{i}kx} - a_a e^{-\mathrm{i}kx}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

For the field C one gets from the equation (4.76):

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\bar{C}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{g^{1/4}T}\partial_m(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\partial_n C) = 0, \tag{4.78}$$

and the solution of this equation, as was already seen, is:

$$C = \int \tilde{dk}\left(ce^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + c^+e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\right). \tag{4.79}$$

The variation of the Lagrangian with respect to the field C is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{g^{1/4}T}\bar{C}\partial_m(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\partial_n\delta C) = -\partial_m\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{g^{1/4}T}\bar{C}\right)g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\partial_n\delta C \\ &= \mathrm{i}\partial_n\left(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\partial_m\frac{1}{g^{1/4}T}\bar{C}\right)\delta\bar{C} \end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

Here has been used that boundary terms vanish under the integral. The solution of the equation

$$\partial_n\left(g^{mn}T\sqrt{g}\partial_m\frac{1}{g^{1/4}T}\bar{C}\right) = 0 \tag{4.81}$$

has the form:

$$\bar{C} = g^{1/4}T\int \tilde{dk}\left(\bar{c}e^{-\mathrm{i}kx} + \bar{c}^+e^{\mathrm{i}kx}\right). \tag{4.82}$$

where $c(k)$ and $\bar{c}^+(k)$ are the fermionic creation and annihilation operators.

Using the definition of action of the BRS operator on the field $\bar{C}$: $s\bar{C} = \mathrm{i}B$ and the formulas (4.77) and (4.82) one gets:

$$s\bar{c}^+ = -\eta^{ab}k_b a_a^+ = -\epsilon_a^\nu\epsilon_b^{\nu'}\eta^{ab}a_\nu k_{\nu'} = -k_0 a_{\bar{k}}^+. \tag{4.83}$$

Hence for the one-particle states one has:

$$s\bar{c}^+|0\rangle = -k_0 a_{\bar{k}}^+|0\rangle. \tag{4.84}$$

From the other definition $sA_m = \partial_m C$ one has:

$$sa_m^+ = k_m c^+. \tag{4.85}$$

Decomposing the creation operators into the four, earlier introduced, orthogonal directions and multiplying both sides with the inverse polarization vector one gets:

$$sa_\nu^+ = \bar{\epsilon}_\nu^m k_m c^+, \tag{4.86}$$

and finally using (4.64):

$$sa_k^+|0\rangle = \sqrt{2} k_0 c^+|0\rangle. \tag{4.87}$$

The formulas (4.84) and (4.87) show that $a_k^+|0\rangle$ and $\bar{c}^+|0\rangle$ are not invariant under the action of the BRS operator and so do not correspond to physical states.
The states $a_{\bar{k}}^+|0\rangle$ and $c^+|0\rangle$ are equivalent to 0.
The two transverse creation operators a_1^+ and a_2^+ generate the physical states.

From formula (4.6) one gets:

$$[a_\nu(k), a_{\nu'}^+(k')] = \bar{\epsilon}_\nu^a \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu'}^b (2\pi)^3 \eta_{ab} \delta^3(k - k'). \tag{4.88}$$

Using (4.64) one gets for the physical degrees of freedom:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1(k), a_1^+(k')] &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(k - k'), \\ [a_2(k), a_2^+(k')] &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(k - k'). \end{aligned} \tag{4.89}$$

In the formula for the Hamiltonian (4.61) one can see that the second and the third line correspond to the unphysical degrees of freedom. Decomposing the operators in the second term of this expression one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4k_0} \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} a_a(-k) a_c(k) \bar{k}_b k_d = \\ &= \frac{1}{4k_0} \eta^{ab} \eta^{cd} \epsilon_a^\nu \epsilon_b^{\nu'} a_\nu(-k) \bar{k}_{\nu'} \epsilon_c^\mu \epsilon_d^{\mu'} a_\mu(k) k_{\mu'} \\ &= k_0 a_k(-k) a_{\bar{k}}(k) \end{aligned} \tag{4.90}$$

and due to the fact that $a_{\bar{k}}(k)$ are unphysical: $a_{\bar{k}}(k)|phys\rangle = 0$, this term gives no contribution to the energy-eigenvalues for physical states. An analogous computation for the last three terms in (4.61) show the same result, they are all unphysical. So the physical part of the Hamiltonian is:

$$H_{phys} = -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k_0 \eta^{ab} a_a^+(k) a_b(k). \tag{4.91}$$

This result is analogous to the flat case.

5. Summary

The curved manifold $R \times S^3$ is locally conformal to the Minkowski space. The vector field is constructed on $R \times S^3$. The free field Lagrangian on the curved space is invariant under conformal transformations of the dynamical fields $A_m(x)$. The gauge-fixing term is not conformally invariant, but it is invariant under Poincaré transformations of the fields $A_m(x)$. As the breaking of conformal invariance has occurred in the unphysical part of the Lagrangian, it would be interesting to investigate if the physical subspace still maintains conformal symmetry. Propagator quantization is carried out. The energy-spectrum of the physical subspace is analogous to the spectrum of flat quantum field theory.

The interaction terms with the charged scalar density field are also conformally invariant. It is shown that this terms introduce no new vertex diagrams, but just the same that are known from scalar QED in Minkowski space. The Lagrangian for the free scalar density does not break conformal symmetry [3].

At the borders of the patch representing R^4 the local flat coordinates are singular. This makes it difficult to relate fields that live on different patches. Physically it should be possible for fields to propagate from one patch to another, but because of fixed points (points where the vector fields vanish) of the Killing fields corresponding to the momentum operators in the conformal algebra, this does not seem to be possible. This leads to the conclusion that the momentum operators of the conformal algebra are probably not a suitable choice for the physical momentum operators on $R \times S^3$. Another possible choice for the operator corresponding to the energy would be the one creating time translations $L_{-1,0}$.

A. The Lorentz generator

As an example, the generator $L_{-1,3}$ will be computed. For this consider the transformations:

$$\delta y^{-1} = y^3 - a y^{-1} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\delta y^3 = y^{-1} - a y^3 \tag{A.2}$$

$$\delta y^m = -a y^m \tag{A.3}$$

where $m = 1, 2, 4, 5$, and $a = y^{-1} y^3$. From (2.32) we get:

$$\delta y^{-1} = -\sin t \delta t = \sin\alpha\cos\theta - \sin\alpha\cos\theta\cos^2 t$$

$$\delta t = -\sin t \sin\alpha\cos\theta, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\delta y^4 = -\sin\alpha\delta\alpha = -\cos t\sin\alpha\cos\theta\cos\alpha$$

$$\delta\alpha = \cos t\cos\alpha\cos\theta, \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y^3 &= \delta(\sin\alpha\cos\theta) = \cos t - \cos t\sin^2\alpha\cos^2\theta \\ & \cos\alpha\cos\theta\delta\alpha - \sin\alpha\sin\theta\delta\theta = \cos t(1 - \sin^2\alpha\cos^2\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y^1 &= \cos\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi\delta\alpha + \sin\alpha\cos\theta\cos\phi\delta\theta - \sin\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi\delta\phi \\ &= -\cos t\sin^2\alpha\cos\theta\cos\phi, \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y^2 &= \cos\alpha\sin\theta\sin\phi\delta\alpha + \sin\alpha\cos\theta\sin\phi\delta\theta - \sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi\delta\phi \\ &= -\cos t\sin^2\alpha\cos\theta\sin\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

If we use (A.4) and (A.5) then we get from the last three formulas:

$$\delta\theta = \cot\alpha\cot\theta\Big(\cos t\cos\alpha\cos\theta - \frac{\cos t}{\cos\alpha\cos\theta} + \cos t\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}\cos\theta\Big), \tag{A.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\alpha + \tan\alpha\cot\theta\delta\theta - \tan\alpha\tan\phi\delta\phi &= -\cos t\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}\cos\theta, \\ \delta\alpha + \tan\alpha\cot\theta\delta\theta + \tan\alpha\cot\phi\delta\phi &= -\cos t\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{\cos\alpha}\cos\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

From the last two equations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tan\phi + \cot\phi)\delta\phi &= 0 \\ \frac{\delta\phi}{\cos^2\phi} &= 0 \\ \delta\phi &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

After some computation equation (A.9) gives:

$$\delta\theta = -\frac{\cos t \sin\theta}{\sin\alpha}. \tag{A.12}$$

Finally we have deduced the generator:

$$L_{-1,3} = -\sin t \sin\alpha \cos\theta \partial_t + \cos t \cos\alpha \cos\theta \partial_\alpha - \frac{\cos t \sin\theta}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta. \tag{A.13}$$

B. Checking the commutation relation

Now the following commutation relation will be proved:

$$[D, P_1] = -P_1. \tag{B.1}$$

According to (2.56) this commutator is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [-L_{-1,4}, L_{-1,1} + L_{1,4}] = \\
= \; & [\sin t \cos\alpha \partial_t + \cos t \sin\alpha \partial_\alpha, -\sin t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t + \\
& \cos t \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha + \frac{\cos t \cos\theta \cos\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta - \frac{\cos t \sin\phi}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi + \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha \\
& + \cot\alpha \cos\theta \cos\phi \partial_\theta - \cot\alpha \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}\partial_\phi] \\
= \; & -\sin^2 t \cos^2 t \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha + \sin t \cos t \sin\alpha \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t - \\
& \frac{\sin^2 t \cos\alpha \cos\theta \cos\phi}{\sin\alpha}\partial_\theta + \frac{\sin^2 t \sin\phi \cos\alpha}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
& + \sin t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t - \sin t \cos t \sin\alpha \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t \\
& - \sin^2 t \sin^2\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha - \cos^2 t \sin^2\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha - \\
& \cos^2 t \cos^2\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha - \frac{\cos^2 t \sin\alpha \cos\theta \cos\phi}{\sin^2\alpha}\partial_\theta \\
& + \frac{\cos^2 t \sin\alpha \sin\phi}{\sin^2\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi - \cos t \cos\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha - \frac{\cos t \sin\alpha \cos\theta \cos\phi}{\sin^2\alpha}\partial_\theta + \\
& \frac{\cos t \sin\phi}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta}\partial_\phi \\
= \; & \sin t \sin\alpha \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_t + (-1 - \cos t \cos\alpha) \sin\theta \cos\phi \partial_\alpha \\
& - \frac{\cos t + \cos\alpha}{\sin\alpha} \cos\theta \cos\phi \partial_\theta + \frac{\cos t + \cos\alpha}{\sin\alpha \sin\theta} \\
= \; & -(L_{-1,1} + L_{1,4})
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Thus the commutation relation is fulfilled.

Bibliography

[1] N. Dragon, Geometrie der Relativitätsteorie, http://www. itp. uni-hannover. de/˜ dragon 2001

[2] N. Dragon, BRS Symmetry and Cohomology, http://www. itp. uni-hannover. de/˜ dragon,1996

[3] Elizabeth Nuncio Quiroz, Diploma thesis at the Institut für Theoretishe Physik, Hannover 2002

[4] B. Felsager, Geometry, Particles and Fields, Springer,1998

[5] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time, Cambrige University Press, Cambrige,1986

[6] S. M. Paneitz and I. E. Segal, Analysis in Space-Time Bundles. I General Considerations and the scalar Bundle,Journal of Functional Analysis 47,78-142,(1982)

[7] B. A. Dubrovin,A. T. Fomenko and S. P. Novikov, Modern Geometry-Methods and applications,Part 1, Springer-verlag,New York, 1992

[8] L.D.Landau, The Classical Theory of fields, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley,Pergamon Press:London,1971

Selbständigkeitserklärung

Hiermit versichere ich,die vorliegende Diplomarbeit selbstständig angefertigt und keine anderen als die aufgeführten Hilfsmittel verwendet zu haben.